

EAM2025 XI Conference

23RD - 25TH
JULY
2025

Spain Tenerife
Canary Islands

European
Association of
Methodology



Relationship between repeated measures in clinical psychology studies: an empirical evaluation

José A López-López, Manuel J Albaladejo-Sánchez, Julio Sánchez-Meca, Fulgencio Marín-Martínez, María Rubio-Aparicio, and Juan J López-García
University of Murcia, Spain

Funding

MICIU/AEI

/10.13039/501100011033

/ and FEDER funds,

European Union, grant no.

PID2022-137328NB-I00



Universidad
de La Laguna



cajasiete



Gobierno de Canarias

Consejería de Universidades,
Ciencia e Innovación y Cultura

Agencia Canaria de Investigación,
Innovación y Sociedad
de la Información



Instituto
Canario
de Igualdad



hogrefe

An initial example: weight before and after an intervention

Subjects	Pretest	Posttest	D
1	80	78	-2
2	90	82	-8
3	72	73	+1
4	92	80	-12
...
<hr/>			
	\bar{Y}_{pre}	\bar{Y}_{post}	\bar{D}
	S_{pre}	S_{post}	S_D

$$\bar{D} = \bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre} = -9 \text{ kg}$$

Another example: anxiety symptoms before and after an intervention

Subjects	Pretest	Posttest	D
1	8	3	-5
2	12	6	-6
3	9	6	-3
4	10	12	+2
...
<hr/>			
	\bar{Y}_{pre}	\bar{Y}_{post}	\bar{D}
	S_{pre}	S_{post}	S_D

$$\bar{D} = \bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre} = -4 \text{ units}$$

Estimators of the standardized mean change

$$d_1 = J_1 \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{S_{pre}}$$

Becker

$$J_1 = J_{21} = J_4 = 1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot df - 1}$$

$$df = n - 1$$

Estimators of the standardized mean change

$$d_1 = J_1 \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{S_{pre}}$$

Becker

$$d_{21} = J_{21} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{S_D^2/2(1-r)}}$$

Borenstein (1)

$$J_1 = J_{21} = J_4 = 1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot df - 1}$$
$$df = n - 1$$

Estimators of the standardized mean change

$$d_1 = J_1 \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{S_{pre}}$$

Becker

$$d_{21} = J_{21} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{S_D^2/2(1-r)}}$$

Borenstein (1)

$$d_{22} = J_{22} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{S_D^2/2(1-r)}}$$

Borenstein (2)

$$J_1 = J_{21} = J_4 = 1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot df - 1}$$
$$df = n - 1$$

$$J_{22} = J_{32} = 1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot df_2 - 1}$$

$$df_2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{1+r^2}$$

Estimators of the standardized mean change

$$d_1 = J_1 \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{S_{pre}}$$

Becker

$$d_{21} = J_{21} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{S_D^2/2(1-r)}}$$

Borenstein (1)

$$d_{22} = J_{22} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{S_D^2/2(1-r)}}$$

Borenstein (2)

$$d_{31} = J_{31} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{(S_{pre}^2 + S_{post}^2)/2}}$$

Bonett (1)

$$d_{32} = J_{32} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{(S_{pre}^2 + S_{post}^2)/2}}$$

Bonett (2)

$$J_1 = J_{21} = J_4 = 1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot df - 1}$$
$$df = n - 1$$

$$J_{22} = J_{32} = 1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot df_2 - 1}$$

$$df_2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{1+r^2}$$

Estimators of the standardized mean change

$$d_1 = J_1 \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{S_{pre}}$$

Becker

$$d_{21} = J_{21} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{S_D^2/2(1-r)}}$$

Borenstein (1)

$$d_{22} = J_{22} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{S_D^2/2(1-r)}}$$

Borenstein (2)

$$d_{31} = J_{31} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{(S_{pre}^2 + S_{post}^2)/2}}$$

Bonett (1)

$$d_{32} = J_{32} \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{\sqrt{(S_{pre}^2 + S_{post}^2)/2}}$$

Bonett (2)

$$d_4 = J_4 \frac{\bar{Y}_{post} - \bar{Y}_{pre}}{S_D}$$

Gibbons

$$J_1 = J_{21} = J_4 = 1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot df - 1}$$

$$df = n - 1$$

$$J_{22} = J_{32} = 1 - \frac{3}{4 \cdot df_2 - 1}$$

$$df_2 = \frac{2(n-1)}{1+r^2}$$

Simulated example 1

$$N = 1000$$

$$\mu_{pre} = 4$$

$$\mu_{post} = 6$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{matrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 1 \end{matrix}$$

INDEX	RESULT
d_1	2.01
d_{21}	1.99
d_{22}	1.99
d_{31}	1.99
d_{32}	1.99
d_4	2.01

Simulated example 2

$$N = 1000$$

$$\mu_{pre} = 4$$

$$\mu_{post} = 6$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{matrix} 1 & 1.05 \\ 1.05 & 2.25 \end{matrix}$$

INDEX	RESULT
d_1	2.04
d_{21}	1.49
d_{22}	1.49
d_{31}	1.61
d_{32}	1.61
d_4	1.89

Simulated example 3

$$N = 10$$

$$\mu_{pre} = 4$$

$$\mu_{post} = 6$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{matrix} 1 & 1.05 \\ 1.05 & 2.25 \end{matrix}$$

INDEX	RESULT
d_1	1.94
d_{21}	0.95
d_{22}	0.97
d_{31}	1.27
d_{32}	1.25
d_4	1.41

Conclusion

- Different formulas available to estimate the standardized mean change

Conclusion

- Different formulas available to estimate the standardized mean change
- They require different information and make different assumptions

Conclusion

- Different formulas available to estimate the standardized mean change
- They require different information and make different assumptions
- The choice can have a substantial impact on the results

Conclusion

- Different formulas available to estimate the standardized mean change
- They require different information and make different assumptions
- The choice can have a substantial impact on the results
- Also, several formulas have been proposed to calculate the sampling variance of each index

Conclusion

- Different formulas available to estimate the standardized mean change
- They require different information and make different assumptions
- The choice can have a substantial impact on the results
- Also, several formulas have been proposed to calculate the sampling variance of each index
- The performance of each option for the estimator and its sampling variance should be examined taking a more comprehensive approach...

Conclusion

- Different formulas available to estimate the standardized mean change
- They require different information and make different assumptions
- The choice can have a substantial impact on the results
- Also, several formulas have been proposed to calculate the sampling variance of each index
- The performance of each option for the estimator and its sampling variance should be examined taking a more comprehensive approach...
- ... Ideally using Monte Carlo simulation

EAM2025 XI Conference

23RD - 25TH
JULY
2025

Spain Tenerife
Canary Islands

European
Association of
Methodology



Relationship between repeated measures in clinical psychology studies: an empirical evaluation

José A López-López, Manuel J Albaladejo-Sánchez, Julio Sánchez-Meca, Fulgencio Marín-Martínez, María Rubio-Aparicio, and Juan J López-García
University of Murcia, Spain

Funding

MICIU/AEI

/10.13039/501100011033

/ and FEDER funds,

European Union, grant no.

PID2022-137328NB-I00



Universidad
de La Laguna



cajasiete



Gobierno de Canarias

Consejería de Universidades,
Ciencia e Innovación y Cultura

Agencia Canaria de Investigación,
Innovación y Sociedad
de la Información



Instituto
Canario
de Igualdad



hogrefe